

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tdademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/763,916	01/23/2004	Jining Zhang	10747-6US (EP1F040007ZL)	7849		
570	7590 09/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	IP STRAUSS HAUER ERCE SOUARE	PAIK, SAN	PAIK, SANG YEOP			
	ET STREET, SUITE 220	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013			3742			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	4/			
		10/763,	,916	ZHANG ET AL.	1			
	Office Action Summary	Examin		Art Unit	T			
		Sang Y	Paik	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	-			ddress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty ( period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered time  DNTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status	•							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	are withdrawn from c						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s	) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office actions	/ documents have be / documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in ments have bee ule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
<b>A</b> #aab	Ma\							
<b>Attachmen</b> 1) ⊠ Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/04</u> .		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	<sup>-</sup> O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/763,916

Art Unit: 3742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear what elements were referred by the recited "one of them". It is suggested that the use of pronoun is avoided to prevent confusion what elements are referred by "them".

In claim 6, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the inner hear-insulation layer" and "the outer heater-insulation layer". Furthermore, "hear" should be changed to --heater--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suhajda (US 4,675,504) in view of Kaebitzsch (US 4,215,267) and Henke (US 4,024,377).

Suhajda shows an electric heating fumigator having a base (40), a case cover (20), a heater support (43) mounted on the base with a heater (60) inserted into the heater support.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,916

Art Unit: 3742

However, Suhajda does not show heating insulation layers attached to the heater support so that one of layers is located between the heater and the heater support.

Kaebitzsch shows a heater support (30) with a heater (32) inserted therein and a thermal insulation layer provided between the heater support and the heater. Henke shows a heater support (30) with a heater disposed therein and a thermal insulation provided outside the heater support to prevent heat loss. In view of Kaebitzsch and Hanke, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Suhajda with a plurality of insulation layers to thermally insulate the heater so that heat is not lost to the bottom or the side of the heater support but to enhance the heat to radiate upwardly more efficiently.

With respect to claim 2, Henke further shows that the heater support is thermally insulated along its entire side wall where the lid or cover contacts the upper portion of the heater support. It would have been obvious to further adapt Suhajda with the outer heat insulation layer extend along the entire side of the heater support where the case cover make the contact, as shown in Henke, so that the heat is not lost but contained within the boundary of the heater support up until where the case cover make the contact so that heat is contained therein within.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suhajda in view of Kaebitzsch and Henke as applied to claims 1, 2 and 9 above, and further in view of Parenti Jr et al (US 4,915,998) or Grasso (US 4,518,850).

Suhajda in view of Kaebitzsch and Henke shows the structure claimed except the materials for the insulating layers.

Parenti shows thermal insulation layers made of ceramic as well as the PTFE. Grasso shows a thermal insulation layer made of ceramic as well as silicone rubber.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/763,916

Art Unit: 3742

In view of Parenti or Grasso, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Suhajda, as modified by Kaebitzsch and Henke, with the claimed insulating materials since such materials are known in the art to provide a good thermal and heat insulations against the heat loss.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3 and 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5. 6~

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742